# Deep Generative Models: Markov Models

Fall Semester 2024

René Vidal

Director of the Center for Innovation in Data Engineering and Science (IDEAS), Rachleff University Professor, University of Pennsylvania Amazon Scholar & Chief Scientist at NORCE



#### Taxonomy of Generative Models



# Lecture Outline

- Stochastic Processes
	- Definition and Examples
- Markov Models and Markov Chains
	- Definition
	- Transition Probability and Transition Matrix
	- Examples
	- Stationarity and Convergence
- Maximum Log-Likelihood for Markov Chains

#### Stochastic Process

- Definition: A *stochastic process* refers to a sequence of random variables  $(X_1, X_2, ..., X_T)$
- Each  $X_t$  takes values from the same sample space  $\Omega$  (state space)
	- You can assume  $X_t$  has K states and  $\Omega := \{1, ..., K\}$
- Example (Bernoulli Process):

$$
X_t \sim \text{Bernouli}(p), \qquad t = 1, \dots, T
$$

• How many states does  $X_t$  has? What is  $\Omega$ ?

#### Markov Property Revisited

- **Issue**: Modeling the joint distribution  $\mathbb{P}(X_1, X_2, ..., X_T)$  might require exponentially many parameters in the absence of any assumptions on  $P$
- **Conditional Independence Assumption** (Markov property):



• **Consequence**: We now only need linearly many parameters:  $\mathbb{P}(x_1, ..., x_T) = \mathbb{P}(x_1) \mathbb{P}(x_2 | x_1) \mathbb{P}(x_3 | x_1, x_2) \cdots \mathbb{P}(x_T | x_1, ..., x_{T-1})$  $= \mathbb{P}(x_1) p(x_2 | x_1) \mathbb{P}(x_3 | x_2) \cdots \mathbb{P}(x_T | x_{T-1})$ 

#### Markov Chains

• Definition: A (discrete time) *Markov chain* is a stochastic process  $(X_1, X_2, ..., X_T)$ with the *Markov property*

$$
\mathbb{P}(x_1, ..., x_T) = \mathbb{P}(x_1)\mathbb{P}(x_2 | x_1)\mathbb{P}(x_3 | x_2) \cdots \mathbb{P}(x_T | x_{T-1})
$$

• Without Markov Property:



• With Markov Property:



#### Parameters of Markov Chains

- Initial Probability  $\pi_1, ..., \pi_K: \pi_i := \mathbb{P}(X_1 = i)$ .
- Transition Probability  $a_{ij}$ :

$$
a_{ij} := \mathbb{P}(X_{t+1} = j \mid X_t = i) \qquad \forall i, j \in \Omega = \{1, \dots, K\}
$$

- This is the probability that  $X_t$  transitions from state  $i$  to state  $j$
- Matrix and Vector Notations:

$$
A \mathpunct{:}=\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1K} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{K1} & \dots & a_{KK} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times K}, \qquad \qquad \pi \mathpunct{:}=\begin{bmatrix} \pi_1, \dots, \pi_K \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times K}
$$

Row Vector

A Markov chain is fully specified by its parameters  $\theta$ : =  $(\pi, A)$ 

# Example: Markov Sentence Model

- State space  $\Omega = \{$ all possible words $\}$ 
	- The following are viewed as words and included in the state space
		- <s>: the start of the sentence
		- Digits
		- Punctuations
- Each sentence is a Markov chain where the words are random variables:



• Meaning of  $\mathbb{P}(x_{t+1}|x_t)$ : Given that the current word is  $x_t$ , what is the probability that the next word is  $x_{t+1}$ ?

- Example: DNA Sequencing
- State Space  $\Omega = \{A, C, G, T\}$
- 

• Transition Matrix A: Initial Probability Vector  $\pi$ :



- Question 1: Given C, what is the probability of getting DNA sequence  $CTGAC$ ?
- Answer 1:

$$
\mathbb{P}(CTGAC|X_1 = C) = \mathbb{P}(C|T) \cdot \mathbb{P}(T|G) \cdot \mathbb{P}(G|A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A|C) \approx 0.00403
$$
  
0.182 0.345 0.345 0.167 0.384

#### Example: DNA Sequencing

• State Space  $\Omega = \{A, C, G, T\}$ 



- Question 2: What's the probability of  $X_3 = A$  given  $X_1 = C$ ?
- Question 3: What's the probability of  $X_3 = A$ ?

#### Example: DNA Sequencing

• State Space  $\Omega = \{A, C, G, T\}$ 



- Question 2: What's the probability of  $X_3 = A$  given  $X_1 = C$ ?
- Question 3: What's the probability of  $X_3 = A$ ?
- Answer 2: The state transition is  $C \to x_2 \to A$  for all possible  $x_2 \in \Omega$ :

$$
\mathbb{P}(X_3 = \mathcal{A}|X_1 = \mathcal{C}) = \sum_{x_2 \in \Omega} \mathbb{P}(X_3 = \mathcal{A}|X_2 = x_2) \cdot \mathbb{P}(X_2 = x_2 | X_1 = \mathcal{C})
$$
  
= [0.384, 0.156, 0.023, 0.437] 
$$
\begin{bmatrix} 0.359 \\ 0.384 \\ 0.306 \\ 0.284 \end{bmatrix}
$$

- $\bullet$  This is the inner product of the second row and first column of the transition matrix  $A$
- This is the  $(2,1)$ -th entry of  $A^2$

#### Example: DNA Sequencing

• State Space  $\Omega = \{A, C, G, T\}$ 



- Question 2: What's the probability of  $X_3 = A$  given  $X_1 = C$ ?
- Question 3: What's the probability of  $X_3 = A$ ?
- Answer 2: The state transition is  $C \to x_2 \to A$  for all possible  $x_2 \in \Omega$ :

$$
\mathbb{P}(X_3 = \mathcal{A}|X_1 = \mathcal{C}) = \sum_{x_2 \in \Omega} \mathbb{P}(X_3 = \mathcal{A}|X_2 = x_2) \cdot \mathbb{P}(X_2 = x_2 | X_1 = \mathcal{C})
$$

• Answer 3: The state transition is  $x_1 \rightarrow x_2 \rightarrow A$  for all possible  $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$ :

$$
\mathbb{P}(X_3 = \mathcal{A}) = \sum_{x_1 \in \Omega} \mathbb{P}(X_3 = \mathcal{A} | X_1 = x_1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(X_1 = x_1)
$$
  
Question 2  
Initial Probability

# Generalizing the DNA Sequencing Example

- State Space  $\Omega = \{1, ..., K\}$
- $(A^s)_{ij}$ : the  $(i, j)$ -th entry of  $A^s$
- $\bullet$   $(A^s)$  $\mathbf{r}_{:j}$ : the  $j$ -th column of  $A^{\mathcal{S}}$
- $(\cdot)_j$ : the *j*-th entry of a vector

Transition Matrix A and initial probability distribution  $\pi$ :  $A: =$  $a_{11}$  …  $a_{1K}$  $\vdots$   $\vdots$   $\vdots$  $a_{K1}$  …  $a_{KK}$  $\in \mathbb{R}^{K \times K}$ ,  $\pi := [\pi_1, ..., \pi_K] \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times K}$ 

- Claim 1:  $\mathbb{P}(X_{t+s} = j \mid X_t = i) = (A^s)$  $(\forall s, t, i, j)$
- Claim 2:  $\mathbb{P}(X_{s+1} = j) = (\pi A^s)$  $(\forall s, j)$
- Proof of Claim 2:

$$
\mathbb{P}(X_{s+1} = j) = \sum_{i \in \Omega} \mathbb{P}(X_{s+1} = j | X_1 = i) \cdot \mathbb{P}(X_1 = i) = \sum_{i \in \Omega} (A^s)_{ij} \cdot \pi_i = \pi(A^s)_{:j} = (\pi A^s)_j
$$

Claim 1

• Proof of Claim 1: By induction (next page)

# Proof of Claim 1

- State Space  $\Omega = \{1, ..., K\}$
- $(A^s)_{ij}$ : the  $(i, j)$ -th entry of  $A^s$
- $\bullet$   $(A^s)$  $\mathbf{r}_{:j}$ : the  $j$ -th column of  $A^{\mathcal{S}}$
- $(\cdot)_j$ : the *j*-th entry of a vector

Transition Matrix A and initial probability distribution  $\pi$ :  $a_{11}$  …  $a_{1K}$ 

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{K1} & \cdots & a_{KK} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times K}, \qquad \pi := [\pi_1, \dots, \pi_K] \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times K}
$$

- Claim 1:  $\mathbb{P}(X_{t+s} = j \mid X_t = i) = A_{ij}^s$
- Proof of Claim 1 (Induction):
	- $\forall s, t$ , it is easy to prove shift invariance:  $\mathbb{P}(X_{t+s} = j \mid X_t = i) = \mathbb{P}(X_{1+s} = j \mid X_1 = i)$
	- Next we prove  $\mathbb{P}(X_{1+s} = j \mid X_1 = i) = A_{ij}^s$  by induction on s:
		- The base case  $s = 1$  follows from the definition of A
		- Suppose we have  $\mathbb{P}(X_s = j \mid X_1 = i) = A_{ij}^{s-1}$  then:

$$
\mathbb{P}(X_{1+s} = j \mid X_1 = i) = \sum_{k \in \Omega} \mathbb{P}(X_{s+1} = j \mid X_s = k) \cdot \mathbb{P}(X_s = k \mid X_1 = i) = \sum_{k \in \Omega} a_{kj} \cdot (A^{s-1})_{ik} = (A^s)_{ij}
$$

# Limiting Behavior of Markov Chains

• We have just proved

$$
\mathbb{P}(X_{t+s} = j \mid X_t = i) = (A^s)_{ij} \qquad (\forall s, t, i, j)
$$
  

$$
\mathbb{P}(X_{s+1} = j) = (\pi A^s)_j \qquad (\forall s, j)
$$

- Our next goal is to understand the limits  $\lim A^s$ ,  $\lim \pi A^s$ . s→∞ s→∞
- The two limits are related to the eigenvalues of  $A$ :
	- Assume  $A$  is diagonalizable and write  $A=U\Lambda U^{-1}$  with eigenvalues  $\Lambda=\text{diag}(\lambda_1,...\,,\lambda_K)$ 
		- The diagonalizability assumption is not necessary but to simplify the exposition…
	- Then we have

$$
\lim_{s \to \infty} A^s = U \left( \lim_{s \to \infty} \Lambda^s \right) U^{-1}, \qquad \lim_{s \to \infty} \pi A^s = \pi U \left( \lim_{s \to \infty} \Lambda^s \right) U^{-1}
$$

• Hence, a necessary condition for the limits to exist is that  $|\lambda_k| \leq 1$  for all k.

#### Eigenvalues of Transition Matrix

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1K} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{K1} & \dots & a_{KK} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times K}
$$

• Proposition. Let  $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_k$  be eigenvalues of A. Then

$$
\max_{k=1,\dots,K} |\lambda_k| = 1.
$$

• Proof. We first show  $|\lambda_k| \leq 1$ . Let  $(\lambda, u)$  be an eigen-pair with  $Au = \lambda u$ ,  $||u||_2 =$ 1 and  $u = [u_1, ..., u_K]^T$ . Let *i* be the index such that  $|u_i|$  is maximized, i.e.,  $i = \text{argmax}_j |u_j|.$ 

Then  $Au = \lambda u$  implies  $\sum_j a_{ij} u_j = \lambda u_i$ , which furthermore gives  $\lambda | \leq$  $\sum_j a_{ij}^{\phantom{ij}'} u_j$  $u_i$  $\leq$   $\Big|a_{ij}\Big|$ .  $j$   $l$   $l$   $j$  $u_j$  $u_i$  $\leq$   $\Big|a_{ij}\Big| = \Big|$ j  $a_{ij} = 1.$ Finally,  $A$  always has an eigenvalue 1:  $A$ 1 1  $\ddot{\cdot}$ 1 = 1 1  $\ddot{\bullet}$ 1

# $|\lambda_k| \leq 1$  is not sufficient for convergence

#### • Intuition:

- Assume  $A$  is diagonalizable and write  $A=U\Lambda U^{-1}$  with eigenvalues  $\Lambda=\text{diag}(\lambda_1,...\,,\lambda_K)$ 
	- The diagonalizability assumption is not necessary but to simplify the exposition…

• Then 
$$
\lim_{s \to \infty} A^s = U(\lim_{s \to \infty} \Lambda^s) U^{-1} = U(\text{diag}(\lim_{s \to \infty} \lambda_1^s, ..., \lim_{s \to \infty} \lambda_K^s)) U^{-1}
$$

- And lim s→∞  $\lambda_k^s$  ...
	- is equal to 0 if  $|\lambda_k|$  < 1
	- is equal to 1 if  $\lambda_k = 1$
	- does not exist if  $\lambda_k = -1$
- $\lambda_k$  can even be a complex eigenvalue with  $|\lambda_k| = 1$

#### Lesson

- Existence. In order for  $\lim A^s$ ,  $\lim \pi A^s$  to exist, we need to make assumptions s→∞ s→∞ such that:
	- A has no eigenvalues of magnitude 1 other than 1 itself.
- Uniqueness. In order for  $\lim \pi A^s$  to be the same for different initial distribution s→∞  $\pi$ , we need to make assumptions such that:
	- 1 is the eigenvalue of A of geometric/algebraic multiplicity 1

- The assumptions should be "interpretable" in terms of Markov chains or states
	- e.g., assuming  $A$  to be diagonalizable is not interpretable

# Irreducibility and Strongly Connected Graph

- Definition. A directed graph is called *strongly connected* if there is a path in each direction between each pair of vertices of the graph.
- Definition. A transition matrix A is called *irreducible* if every state can be reached from any other state, i.e., for any  $i, j$ , there is some  $t$  such that  $\mathbb{P}(X_t = j \mid X_1 = i) > 0.$
- Remark. Each state can be denoted by a vertex and, if  $a_{ij} > 0$  then we add a directed edge from vertex  $i$  to vertex  $j$ . This way, we obtain a directed graph. We can see that  $A$  is irreducible if and only if the graph is strongly connected

# Limiting Behavior of Markov Chains

 $\overline{S}$ 

s→∞

- Theorem. Assume A is irreducible, then there is some  $v = [v_1, ..., v_K]$  such that
	- For any initial distribution  $\pi$  we have: lim  $I + A + \cdots + A^{s-1}$  $= ev,$  lim  $\pi$  $I + A + \dots + A^{s-1}$

$$
e \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$

 $= v$ 

• If furthermore there is some  $a_{ij} > 0$ , then for any initial distribution  $\pi$  we have

$$
\lim_{s \to \infty} A^s = ev, \qquad \lim_{s \to \infty} \pi A^s = v
$$

s→∞

 $\overline{S}$ 

• Remark. In the latter case,  $v$  is called the stationary distribution as it is the unique vector that satisfies:

$$
\nu A = \nu, \qquad \nu_i > 0 \, (\forall i), \qquad \sum_i \nu_i = 1
$$

• Remark on Proof. This result is related to Perron–Frobenius Theory (Google search it). For its proof, see Chapter 7 (Perron–Frobenius Theory) of "*Matrix Analysis and Applied Linear Algebra*"*, Second Edition (Carl D. Meyer, 2023)*.

Example

• Let 
$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$
 and  $v = [0.5, 0.5]$  and  $I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Note that  $a_{11} = a_{22} = 0$ 

- A has two eigenvalues, 1 and  $-1$ .
- We have  $A^{2t} = I$  and  $A^{2t+1} =$ 0 1 1 0 for any  $t$ , so  $\lim$ s→∞  $A^{\mathcal{S}}$  does not exist.
- We have  $vA = [0.5, 0.5]$ 0 1 1 0  $=[0.5, 0.5] = \nu$ , so lim s→∞  $vA<sup>s</sup> = [0.5, 0.5]$ . However, for any initial distribution  $\pi$  different from  $v$ ,  $\lim$ s→∞  $\pi A^s$  does not exist.
- On the other hand, we have  $\left(\frac{I+A}{2}\right)$ 2 2 = 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 =  $I+A$ 2 , which implies

$$
\lim_{s \to \infty} \left( \frac{I + A}{2} \right)^s = \frac{I + A}{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} [0.5, 0.5] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \pi
$$

#### Estimate Transition Parameters  $\theta$  from Data

• We have derived some results based on the transition matrix …

• In practice, we are given data samples rather than the transition matrix

• We will assume the data are sampled from a Markov chain, and then compute the transition matrix from data via **maximum likelihood estimation (MLE)**

# MLE of Markov Chains  $(x_1) \rightarrow (x_2)$



- Assume we have N i.i.d. samples  $\{x^{(n)}\}$  $n=1$  $\boldsymbol{N}$ from distribution  $p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ 
	- $x := (x_1, ..., x_T)$  each  $x_t$  has K status
	- $\theta = (A, \pi)$ : unknown transition matrix and initial probability distribution



- Simplifying The MLE
- $\mathbb{I}(\cdot)$ : indicator function

$$
\hat{A}_{ML} = \text{argmax}_{A} \prod_{n=1}^{N} \prod_{t=2}^{T} p_A \left( x_t^{(n)} \mid x_{t-1}^{(n)} \right)
$$

•  $N_{ij}$ : the number of samples with transitions from state *i* to state *j*, i.e.,

$$
N_{ij} := \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{t=2}^{T} \mathbb{I}\left(x_t^{(n)} = j, x_{t-1}^{(n)} = i\right)
$$

Then we have:

1. 
$$
p_A\left(x_t^{(n)} \mid x_{t-1}^{(n)}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^K \prod_{j=1}^K (a_{ij})^{\mathbb{I}\left(x_t^{(n)}=j, x_{t-1}^{(n)}=i\right)}
$$
  
\n2.  $\prod_{n=1}^N \prod_{t=2}^T p_A\left(x_t^{(n)} \mid x_{t-1}^{(n)}\right) = \prod_{n=1}^N \prod_{t=2}^T \prod_{i=1}^K \prod_{j=1}^K (a_{ij})^{\mathbb{I}\left(x_t^{(n)}=j, x_{t-1}^{(n)}=i\right)}$   
\n
$$
= \prod_{i=1}^K \prod_{j=1}^K (a_{ij})^{N_{ij}}
$$

This gives:

$$
\hat{A}_{ML} = \operatorname{argmax}_{A} \prod_{i=1}^{K} \prod_{j=1}^{K} (a_{ij})^{N_{ij}}
$$

# Simplifying The MLE

$$
\hat{A}_{ML} = \operatorname{argmax}_{A} \prod_{i=1}^{K} \prod_{j=1}^{K} (a_{ij})^{N_{ij}}
$$

•  $N_{ij}$ : the number of samples with transitions from state *i* to state *j* 

Taking logarithm and adding constraints  $\sum_i a_{ij} = 1$ :



Remark: We have seen how to solve it using Lagrangian multipliers (recall *EM for Gaussian Mixture Models*)

$$
\widehat{a_{ij}}_{ML} = \frac{N_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} N_{ij}}
$$

Remark: The optimal transition matrix can be found by simply counting and classifying the number of the transitions of the sample states!

# Conclusion

- Markov chains have several applications
- For irreducible transition matrix with at least one positive entry, the Markov chain will eventually a stationary distribution

• The transition matrix can be learned from data via maximum likelihood estimation