Deep Generative Models: Image Editing with Diffusion Models

Fall Semester 2024

René Vidal

Director of the Center for Innovation in Data Engineering and Science (IDEAS) Rachleff University Professor, University of Pennsylvania Amazon Scholar & Chief Scientist at NORCE



Diffusion Models

• Derivation of Diffusion Models + Stable Diffusion/Control net (Last Lecture)

- Markov Hierarchical Variational Auto Encoders (MHVAE)
- Diffusion Models are VAEs with Linear Gaussian Autoregressive latent space
- ELBO for Diffusion Models is a particular case of ELBO for VAEs with extra structure
- Implementation Details
- Latent Diffusion Models (Stable Diffusion) + Controllable generation
- Image Editing with Diffusion Models (Today's Lecture)
 - DDIM, P2P, Overview of other baselines from project

Latent Space Image Editing: Inversion + Manipulation



We learned that diffusion models are hierarchical VAEs so can we use their "latent space" to do editing?

Text-to-Image Diffusion Models

• Last class, we learned that stable diffusion can perform conditional generation using a text prompt





Text prompt: "photograph of a puppy on the grass"

Naïve Image Editing Idea

• Instead of starting from pure noise, let us perform naïve inversion using the forward process and a fixed image



Depending on how much noise we add, we can change a lot of features in the image or not enough features

Better Inversion?

• Problem: There is a lot of randomness in the diffusion model



- What if we had a different sampling mechanism?
 - In the next couple slides, we will derive a different sampling mechanism for pixel-space diffusion models (DDIM) that will allow us to achieve better inversion as a result

Denoising Diffusion Implicit Models (DDIM)

• Recall our ELBO derivation

$$\log p\left(x\right)$$

$$\geq \underbrace{E_{q_{\phi}(x_{1}|x_{0})}[\log p_{\theta}(x_{0}|x_{1})]}_{\text{KL}(q_{\phi}(x_{T}|x_{0})|p_{\theta}(x_{T}))} - \sum_{t=2}^{T} \underbrace{E_{q_{\phi}(x_{t}|x_{0})}\left[D_{\text{KL}}(q_{\phi}(x_{t-1}|x_{t},x_{0})||p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_{t}))\right]}_{\text{KL}(q_{\phi}(x_{T}|x_{0})|p_{\theta}(x_{T}))} - \sum_{t=2}^{T} \underbrace{E_{q_{\phi}(x_{t}|x_{0})}\left[D_{\text{KL}}(q_{\phi}(x_{t-1}|x_{t},x_{0})||p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_{t})\right]}_{\text{KL}(q_{\phi}(x_{T}|x_{0})|p_{\theta}(x_{T}))} - \sum_{t=2}^{T} \underbrace{E_{q_{\phi}(x_{t}|x_{0})}\left[D_{\text{KL}}(q_{\phi}(x_{t-1}|x_{t},x_{0})||p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_{t})\right]}_{\text{KL}(q_{\phi}(x_{T}|x_{0})|p_{\theta}(x_{T}))} - \sum_{t=2}^{T} \underbrace{E_{q_{\phi}(x_{t}|x_{0})}\left[D_{\text{KL}}(q_{\phi}(x_{t-1}|x_{t},x_{0})||p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_{t})\right]}_{\text{KL}(q_{\phi}(x_{T}|x_{0})|p_{\theta}(x_{T}))} - \sum_{t=2}^{T} \underbrace{E_{q_{\phi}(x_{t}|x_{0})}\left[D_{\text{KL}}(q_{\phi}(x_{t-1}|x_{t},x_{0})||p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_{t})\right]}_{\text{KL}(q_{\phi}(x_{T}|x_{0})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta}(x_{T})|p_{\theta$$

reconstruction term

prior matching term

score matching term

- Previously: Compute $q_{\phi}(x_{t-1}|x_t, x_0)$ by Bayes rule + forward process $q(x_t|x_0) = N(\sqrt{\overline{\alpha_t}}x_0, (1 \overline{\alpha_t})I)$
- New idea: Define inference distribution as

$$q_{\sigma}(x_{t-1} | x_t, x_0) = N(\sqrt{\overline{\alpha_{t-1}}} x_0 + \sqrt{1 - \overline{\alpha_{t-1}}} - \sigma_t^2 \frac{x_t - \sqrt{\overline{\alpha_t}} x_0}{\sqrt{1 - \overline{\alpha_t}}}, \sigma_t^2 I)$$

- Marginal $q(x_t|x_0)$ gives same forward process as DDPM
- Note that when $\sigma_t = 0$ for all t, the process is deterministic!
 - Hint: Inversion will be easier!

Learning Objective

• Recall KL divergence for Gaussians

 $=\frac{1}{2\sigma_q^2(t)}\left[|\mu_{\theta}-\mu_q|_2^2\right]$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{KL}}\left(\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x};\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{x}},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{x}})|\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{y};\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{y}},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{y}})\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left[\log\frac{\left|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{y}}\right|}{\left|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{x}}\right|} - \mathrm{d} + \mathrm{tr}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{y}}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{x}}\right) + \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{y}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{x}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{y}}^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{y}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{x}}\right)\right]$$

• Choose variance of p to match exactly variance of q

 $D_{\mathrm{KL}}(q(x_{t-1} \mid x_t, x_0) | p_{\theta}(x_{t-1} \mid x_t))$ = $D_{\mathrm{KL}}\left(\mathcal{N}\left(x_{t-1}; \mu_q, \Sigma_q(t)\right) | \mathcal{N}\left(x_{t-1}; \mu_{\theta}, \Sigma_q(t)\right)\right)$

$$\sigma_q^2(t) = \sigma_t^2$$

This is going to be same as DDPM!

• Choose mean of p to match form of mean of q

$$\mu_{q}(x_{t}, x_{0}) = \sqrt{\overline{\alpha_{t-1}}} x_{0} + \sqrt{1 - \overline{\alpha_{t-1}}} - \sigma_{t}^{2} \frac{x_{t} - \sqrt{\overline{\alpha_{t}}} x_{0}}{\sqrt{1 - \overline{\alpha_{t}}}}$$

$$\mu_{\theta}(x_{t}, t) = \sqrt{\overline{\alpha_{t-1}}} \widehat{x_{\theta}}(x_{t}, t) + \sqrt{1 - \overline{\alpha_{t-1}}} - \sigma_{t}^{2} \frac{x_{t} - \sqrt{\overline{\alpha_{t}}} \widehat{x_{\theta}}(x_{t}, t)}{\sqrt{1 - \overline{\alpha_{t}}}}$$

What have we done?

- We created a new inference distribution such that the training objective is same as DDPM
 - This should make sense because the marginal $q(x_t|x_0)$ was same as DDPM forward process and that is all the training objective depended on
- But we introduced this parameter σ_t !
 - One application: Much faster sampling

$$x_{t-1} = \sqrt{\overline{\alpha_{t-1}}} \widehat{x_{\theta}}(x_t, t) + \sqrt{1 - \overline{\alpha_{t-1}}} - \sigma_t^2 \frac{x_t - \sqrt{\overline{\alpha_t}} \widehat{x_{\theta}}(x_t, t)}{\sqrt{1 - \overline{\alpha_t}}} + \sigma_t \epsilon$$
Predicted x_0 Direction pointing to x_t Random noise
$$x_3 \xrightarrow{q(x_3|x_2, x_0)} x_2 \xrightarrow{p_{\theta}} x_1 \xrightarrow{q(x_2|x_1, x_0)} x_1 \xrightarrow{q(x_2|x_1, x_0)} x_1$$

DDIM Inversion

- Finally, we can come back to what we started off with: image editing for which we wanted "inversion" of diffusion model
- DDIM with $\sigma_t = 0$ gives us deterministic sampling (i.e. given x_T , DDIM sampling is fixed)
- This is useful for inversion
 - Take x_0 and compute the forward process using $\sigma_t = 0$ and some sample of x_T . This computed x_t is the "inversion" of x_0 into the latent space of the diffusion model
- Next, we will see how to perform edits in this space
 - One example: Prompt2Prompt (P2P)

Prompt2Prompt



 Attention Control: DDIM Inversion has no symbolic (rigid) control for structural consistency! Authors proposed to save the cross-attention maps during DDIM Forward and re-use (inject) them during reverse process.



Project Overview

- Some baseline methods in your project improve upon inversion or editing
 - DDIM Inversion, better editing: Direct Inversion, Null Text Inversion, Pix2Pix Zero
 - *DDPM Inversion*: Edit-Friendly P2P
 - Naïve Inversion, latent space editing: Blended Latent Diffusion, MasaCtrl
- Other methods just train conditional diffusion models on large datasets to perform editing
 - Instruct Pix2Pix, InstructDiffusion, StyleDiffusion